



THE CASCADE CAVER

International Journal of
Vulcanospeleology



Published by the Cascade Grotto, N.S.S.


VOLUME 22 NO. 4

Editor: Mark Sherman

APRIL 1983

U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

ANNUAL REPORT 1983 PL. VI



PANDEHUE-MAUNA LOA

COMING EVENTS

MAY 17 Grotto Meeting 8:00, 1117 36th Ave. East, Seattle
JUNE 21 Grotto Meeting 8:00, 1117 36th Ave. East, Seattle
JUNE 26-JULY 1 NSS Convention, Elkins, West Virginia
JULY 19 Grotto Meeting 8:00, 1117 36th Ave. East, Seattle
SEPT 3-5 Northwest Regional Meeting, the Lehman Cave area, Nevada

GROTTO NOTES

Andrew Foord has been declared the winner of last years caving contest. He had accumulated the most hours underground (118.5) and the most vertical feet (784). Congratulations Andrew!

On April 30th, Rod Crawford, Larry McTigue and Ben Tompkins finished mapping all the known passages at Senger's Talus Cave. The final tally at press time, had not been made, but it should come out at over 2400 feet long.

This month the cover engraving was done by Capt. C. E. Dutton and was taken from the U. S. Geological Survey's Fourth Annual Report, 1882-1883.

Please send me your articles and trip reports --- somebody out there must be doing some caving. I could also use drawings and cartoons. If you don't send me anything, I can't mail anything back.

Hail and Farewell Marlene

In recent years, Marlene Schneider was unable to participate in caving because of the relentless inroads of the progressive disease which finally quenched her indomitable spirit on May 8. Especially painful to her (as to her closest friends) were her foot problems, that increasingly limited her ability to get around - yet she will be remembered as one of the Grotto's most appreciated members, because of her never-failing friendliness, and because of her cheerfulness in the face of obstacles that would have made most of us fall apart long ago. Many grotto members - both old and new - in fact did not even know of the overwhelming problems Marlene largely put out of her mind until the very end. Her courage will live after her, as a model for us all.

WRH

Taken From a Letter to the Supervisor of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest

From William Halliday M.D.

I am writing to point out the need for special provisions for access for research and scientific observation of lava flows should any occur during eruptions of Mount St. Helens, and to request immediate implementation of such provisions.

The seismic patterns of recent eruptions of Mount St. Helens suggest that there is a distinct chance of one or more flows of fluid basalt (like the Cave Basalt Lava Flow on the south side of the mountain) during an eruption in the fairly near future. To the best of our knowledge, no American speleologist ever has had an opportunity to study the origin and development of the lava tube caves which form in such flows, during the period of their origin and development. Such an event at Mount St. Helens would present an opportunity unequalled by any other event in the United States except on the island of Hawaii, where such speleogenesis is available for study under circumstances so limited by inaccessibility, unpredictability, expenses, and other problems as to render the comparison meaningless. In short, if a speleoliferous lava flow occurs at Mount St. Helens, it will present an opportunity which must not be lost.

Under present regulations, however, access for such observations and research would be prohibited for all holders of research permits except the U.S. Geological Survey.

Papers presented at several recent symposia have demonstrated that, ethically, volunteers such as those comprising W.S.S. field parties can and do accept risks up to 1,000 times as much as paid employees such as participants in U.S.G.S. field parties. If you are not familiar with such standard risk management sources as Of Acceptable Risk, by William Lorraine, I recommend it for your review.

The language and expressed intent of the Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument Act make it clear that Congress intends the new national monument to be open to research at the time that such research can be conducted. I hereby request immediate development and promulgation of the new Red Zone regulations that will permit qualified vulcanospeleologists, with research permits such as those of the W.S.S., to conduct research in the Red Zone at times when the Red Zone is safe enough for staff persons of the U.S. Geological Survey to be permitted to enter it.

THE WORLD'S LONGEST LAVA TUBE CAVES: THIRD REVISION

By R. L. Crawford

Introduction

Since lava tube caves began to be mapped in large numbers, numerous claims to possession of the world's longest have been advanced by cavers from various countries. Among the caves for which this distinction has been claimed are Ape Cave, Washington (Halliday, 1962); the Cueva de los Verdes, Canary Islands (Montoriol and de Mier, 1969); the Cueva del Viento, Canary Islands (Montoriol and de Mier, 1974); Kazumura Cave, Hawaii (Gagne and Howarth, 1975); Leviathan Cave, Kenya (Simon, 1976); Man Jang Gul, South Korea (Anon., 1981); and Bilemot Gul, South Korea (Ogawa, 1982).

Conventionally, the ranking of the above mentioned and other long lava tube caves would be based on their published lengths. Unfortunately some of the caves involved have several conflicting published length figures; even more unfortunately, the mappers have used different standards in defining cave length and cave limits. Uncritical acceptance of the published figures would lead to a list in which the lengths given for different caves would not be truly comparable; thus the ranking would be meaningless. It is evident that a single set of standards and definition must be adopted for a meaningful ranking of caves in order of length to be possible.

The problem of segmentation

The most important controversy among lava tube mappers is whether intact lava tube segments separated by collapse trench should be counted as the same or different caves. Figure 1 illustrates diagrammatically eight possible cases bearing on this controversy.

Figure 1A shows a single passage cave divided by a typical collapse entrance. Korean, Spanish, or British cavers would almost certainly count this as a single cave. American, Canadian, or French cavers would most likely count it as two. This difference of opinion would lead to two alternate length figures differing by a factor of about two. Moreover, of the mappers who would count this as a single cave, some would include the collapse in the cave's length and some would not.

Figure 1B is a more extreme case of Fig. 1A. Some of the mappers who would count 1A as one cave would count 1B as two. Probably some would count even 1B as a single cave. In this case, if the collapse trench were counted it would nearly double the cave's (or caves') length.

The same mappers who would count 1A as one cave would probably count 1C as one also; others would count it as three. But would anyone count the largely collapsed system in 1D as a single cave for the sake of its four tiny intact segments? Probably not. This is what is known as a reductio ad absurdum. If one is not to count extreme cases like 1D as entire caves, where is the line to be drawn. Standard definitions are the only answer.




Figure 1.
Cases illustrating
lava tube collapse.

The diagrams on the right side of Figure 1 illustrate some cases to be considered in formulating standards on segmentation. Figure 1E illustrates the least controversial possible case. A collapse at one end of a passage obviously leaves the cave intact. The case in Figure 1F is almost equally clear. Everyone, I think, would agree that a collapse which can be bypassed via intact passage does not segment the cave.

Figure 1G illustrates the nub of the problem. Several points of view are possible here. First, one could view the left hand case as a single cave despite the segmenting collapse. One would then have to decide where to set limits on how much collapse can be part of a cave, or be forced to count extreme cases like 1D as caves. Second, one could adopt some such rule as that a collapse of the full passage width segments the cave. One would then have to count the right hand case of 1G as two caves, something most cavers would be reluctant to do. The best compromise between these two points of view I have seen is the international standard as adopted here; see below for details.

A more difficult problem, and one that has yet to be satisfactorily settled, is illustrated in Fig. 1H: a collapse that does not include the full passage width, but leaves an overhang on one or both sides. If the collapse in this example does segment the cave, what then about a much smaller skylight collapse? If it does not segment the cave, what then about a case where the overhang is less than half a meter.

The problem of length definition

Other controversial aspects of cave length have to do with just how "length" is defined with respect to a cave. To begin with, I think most or all cavers would agree that a cave's length is the total length of all passages, and not just the "main passage" length as some geologists would have it. Another standard that is widely, though not universally, accepted is that a cave's length must be determined by mapping, not estimation, to bear comparison with other lengths.

What is done with the mapping data to determine cave length? In the case of some cave mappers, particularly those of the eastern United States, the first step is to reduce the raw length data, as measured in the cave, to horizontal and vertical components and to count only the horizontal components. This is illustrated in Figure 2 (A-D). Length determined in this way is called Projected length or map length. In Figure 2A, the map length is 60 units, the same as the unreduced length figure, since the cave is perfectly horizontal. In Fig. 2B the map length is 55; in Fig. 2C, 47; in Fig. 2D, the "length" of the 60-unit pit is 7 units. To my mind, counting map length as the "true" length of a cave is unrealistic to the point of absurdity. A cave passage, like a stick or any other rigid object, does not shrink and expand depending on whether it is horizontal, vertical, or at an angle. The distance from one end to the other is the same in each case. The length of a cave passage is properly measured along the long axis of the passage. This is also called slope length or linear development. All the cases in Figs. 2A-2D have the same length, 60 units. Slope length is used by cave mappers in most parts of the world. Reduction of slope length to map length is, of course, an essential step in the preparation of a plan view map. However, it must be remembered




Figure 2.
Definitions:
2A-2E, types of cave length
2F-2G, cave continuity

that it is only a mathematical abstraction useful in cartography, and has no constant relationship with the true length of a cave.

In caves that slope very little, the map length may be only slightly less than the true length. If the cave is very long, however, even a small percentage difference can be important. A case in point: the most recent list of longest caves of the world (NSS News, October 1982) lists Friars Hole, U.S.A., at 66,000 m, in seventh place under Sistema Ojo Guarena, Spain, at 67,000 m, in sixth place. It is not unlikely that the figure for Friars Hole is map length and that for Sistema Ojo Guarena is slope length. In this case, if the slope length of Friars Hole were only 2% higher than its map length, it would be 67,320 m and would take sixth place.

Most other variations in length standards have relatively minor effects, but one can be significant at times: Continuous vs. discontinuous length. These concepts are illustrated in Fig. 2E. The solid lines represent discontinuous length; the solid plus dotted lines represent continuous length. In the case of large rooms, the difference can be considerable. Either form seems defensible, but a single standard must be adopted nonetheless.

International standards

Like other cave mappers, I have personal opinions on how cave length should be determined. I could here proceed to codify these, but lacking any authority other than mine, they would stand no more chance of universal acceptance than anyone else's opinions. Fortunately, there exists an international Commission of the Greatest Caves, presently headed by Claude Chabert, whose job it is to set standards for cave mapping. Their preliminary recommendations were published by Chabert (1979) and Chabert and Watson (1981); from this I have extracted the following list of basic standards for lava tube mapping. Some statements have been reworded for clarity or applicability to lava tubes, but the principles involved are those of Chabert and his colleagues. See the discussion above for definitions of terms.

1. An open collapse pit is part of the cave if and only if its greatest horizontal dimension (width, length, or diagonal) is less than its depth. See Figures 2F and 2G. By this definition the collapse in 2F is not part of the cave, but that in 2G is part of the cave. Depth in this case is considered to be the depth that would be added to the cave if the pit were considered part of the cave; in other words the vertical difference between the lip of the pit and the first in-cave survey stations.

2. A cave is a continuous subterranean cavity; any discontinuity such as a collapse where one must leave and then re-enter a cave divides that cave into two caves, whose lengths must NOT be counted together. This is a corollary of (1) above, and a crucial point which must be accepted in order for a standard list of long caves to be possible. A related point is that caves linked only by artificial tunnels must be treated as separate caves; however, natural passages enlarged or re-excavated by cavers count as part of the cave.

3. For ranking purposes, a cave's length is a continuous linear development, or the distance traveled by a caver to explore all parts of the cave. As a corollary, portions of the cave no caver has passed through, such as unclimbed domes and undescended pits, cannot be counted.

4. For ranking purposes, a cave's depth is the difference in elevation between the highest and lowest points reached by cavers in the cave.

5. Only accurately surveyed caves can be ranked; where the survey is unfinished, only that part which is surveyed qualifies.

One problem not addressed directly in these standards is that illustrated in Figure 1H, where a collapse sink leaves an overhang. One of the Commission's principles that may apply is that in a horizontal entrance, the cave begins at the innermost point of the dripline. Unfortunately this does not seem to help much. This problem is one that should be addressed by the Commission at the earliest date possible. In the meantime this list will count caves like that in Fig. 1H, where it is possible to remain under the overhang while passing the collapse without undue contortion, as single caves.

Criteria for inclusion

The intention of the list given here is to include every continuous lava tube cave with 2,000 m or more of mapped passage. In most cases it has been possible to determine whether the caves on the list are segmented, although in some cases the information has been hard to find. Since there are no lava tubes in the eastern United States, I assume that all the lengths given are linear development rather than map length. In some cases, this has been confirmed.

Two caves are listed which may be segmented, but are being given the benefit of the doubt pending confirmation. Favre's map of John Martin Cave does not show collapses clearly, but in a conversation with John Martin I received the impression that his cave will probably prove to be segmented when detailed information becomes available. No map or photographs of Susan Gul have yet been published, so its nature remains unconfirmed.

A number of lava tube caves with lengths claimed in excess of 2,000 m have been omitted from the list for reasons connected with the standards set above. In most cases these caves were either segmented or unsurveyed. In one case, the Cueva de San Marcos, the cited length was the total of two caves with entrances near each other on a cliff face, but not even connected by collapse trench. The more important of the omitted cases are given in Table 1.

Table 1.

SOME CAVES AND CAVE SYSTEMS OMITTED FROM LIST

<u>Cave/Cave System</u>	<u>Claimed Length, m</u>	<u>Reason Omitted</u>	<u>Location</u>
Ainohou Ranch Cave	7,110	Segmented	Hawii
Ubuwumo bwa Musanze	4,560	Segmented	Rwanda
Offal Cave	3,400	Unmapped	Hawaii
Kalmanshellir	3,000	Unmapped	Iceland
Catwalk Cave	2,420	Segmented	California
Cueva de Gallardo	2,250	Segmented	Galapagos
Cueva de San Marcos	2,130	Two caves	Canary Is.
Cueva de Felipe Reventon	2,000+	Unmapped	Canary Is.
La Cueva	2,000+	Unmapped	Canary Is.

In segmented systems, all segments more than 2,000 m long have been listed. So far, only one system has proven to have two such segments; the Leviathan System in Kenya. Originally it was thought that the Leviathan system was segmented in two places, but data kindly supplied by Jim Simons show that only the lower of these two, "Pottery Collapse", actually segments the cave. Simmons' data is admirably thorough and might serve as a model for other cave mappers. A number of the caves on the list are the longest single segments of cave systems which in toto are considerably longer. Some of these are compared in Table 2, below.

Table 2.

CAVES LISTED WITH REDUCED LENGTH

<u>Cave/Cave System</u>	<u>Max. Claimed Length, m</u>	<u>Listed Length(s)</u>	<u>Reason</u>
Man Jang Gul	13,268	4,632	Segmented
Leviathan Cave	11,152	9,152/2,071	Segmented
Cueva del Viento System	10,002	7,922	Segmented
Cueva de los Verdes	6,100	2,565	Segmented
Susan Gul	4,700	4,674	Overestimate
Gruta dos Balcoes	3,200	2,650	Overestimate
Socheon Gul	3,074	2,186	Segmented

List of the world's longest lava tube caves

The list which follows is only as good as the data which I have received from all over the world. Numerous changes have been made from past lists, and future editions will undoubtedly reflect more additions, changes, and corrections. Kazumura and Upper Leviathan caves are both incompletely mapped, so changes in the ranking of the "top three" may be expected. It is likely, however, that Upper Leviathan Cave will retain its position as deepest known lava tube cave for some time. The position of Catacombs Cave at the bottom of the list is probably permanent, unless new passage is discovered.

Table 3.

WORLD'S LONGEST LAVA TUBE CAVES

<u>Cave</u>	<u>Length, m</u>	<u>Vert. Range, m</u>	<u>Location</u>
1. Bilemot Gul	11,749	---	Cheju Do
2. Kazumura Cave	11,713	261	Hawaii
3. Upper Leviathan Cave	9,152	408	Kenya
4. Cueva de las Breveritas	7,922	261	Canary Is.
5. John Martin Cave	6,400 ?	---	Hawaii
6. Cueva de Don Justo	6,315	143	Canary Is.
7. Susan Gul	4,674 ?	---	Cheju Do
8. Man Jang Gul	4,632	---	Cheju Do
9. Ape Cave	3,904	210	Washington
10. Duck Creek Lava Tube	3,674	76	Utah
11. Falls Creek Cave	2,797	126	Washington
12. Gruta dos Balcoes	2,650	43	Azores
13. Cueva de los Verdes	2,565	29	Canary Is.
14. Kaumana Cave	2,544	---	Hawaii
15. Dynamited Cave	2,388	108	Washington
16. Pot o' Gold Cave	2,250	---	Idaho
17. Socheon Gul	2,186	---	Cheju Do
18. Mitsuike Ana	2,140	70	Japan
19. Gypsum Cave	2,140	---	Idaho
20. Lower Leviathan Cave	2,071	57	Kenya
21. Catacombs Cave	2,000	---	California

Sources of length data and published maps

- Ogawa, 1982 (length); map, see Fig. 4.
- Wood, 1981 (map, length, and depth); see Fig. 5.
- Simons, personal communication, 1982; map not yet available.
- Wood and Mills, 1977 (map, length, and depth); see Fig. 6.
- Favre, personal communication, 1982. Map on file, unpublished.
- Montoriol, Romero, and Montserrat, 1980 (map, length, and depth); Fig. 7.
- Ogawa, personal communication, 1982; map not yet available.
- Crawford, 1980 (recalculation of length); map, see Fig. 8.
- Halliday, 1978 (length, depth); map, see Fig. 9.
- Green, 1976 (map, length); 1978 (depth).
- Nieland, 1975 (map, length, and depth).
- Montserrat, personal communication, 1981; map on file, unpublished.
- Montoriol and de Mier, 1969 (map, length, depth of system). Only longest segment listed here.
- Wood, 1981 (map only). Length given here is estimated from map; when known, true length will be greater.
- Crawford, 1975 (composite length and depth); only partial maps available.
- Ireton, personal communication, 1978.
- Crawford, 1982 (recalculation of length, map).
- Ogawa, personal communication, 1982. Map on file, unpublished.
- Vance, 1978 (map, length).
- Simons, personal communication, 1982. Map not yet available. This is the section of cave below Pottery Collapse (see Fig. 3).
- Peck, 1976 (map, length).

Figs. 3-9 will be published in the proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Vulcanospeleology (1982).

Concluding remarks

The study of the world's lava tube caves has hardly well begun. Most volcanic areas have not even been checked by cavers. Even the best-studied areas will undoubtedly yield additional caves that qualify for this list. Several of the caves listed here are certain to be extended by further exploration. I encourage all cavers living in or near volcanic areas to explore and map their lava tubes, to adhere to the international standards, and to communicate the results to me for inclusion in future editions of this list.

Simons (1978 and in litt.) has suggested the formation of a separate list of longest lava tube cave systems, where caves divided by collapse would be added together. I am unable to undertake such a list myself, but offer my support and encouragement to anyone who feels sufficiently energetic and meticulous to do so. Much presently unpublished data would have to be gathered to even make a start. Such a listing would have to set standards of its own, answering questions such as: would collapse trench be counted in length, or only intact passage? If the former, it would be hard to beat the 28,500 m Bandera Crater Lava Tube in New Mexico (Hatheway and Herring, 1970). Would a system consist of caves separated by collapse only, or would systems segmented by lava seal or breakdown choke, necessitating return to the entrance and overland treks, be included? Would cave segments so short that they individually would not qualify as true caves, be counted in the length? And so forth.

Discussion

Giuseppe Licitra (Sicily) remarked that he prefers to consider systems segmented by collapse as single caves, but systems segmented by lava seals as separate caves. My reply: this standpoint may well be defensible scientifically, but if everyone adheres to their personal opinions and ignores the international standards, no cooperative length ranking will be possible.

Takanori Ogawa (Japan) corrected my length figure for Bilemot Gul. The original figure of 12.4 km did have a source, but much recent searching has failed to disclose it. Ogawa's figure may be considered authoritative.

Fred Stone (Hawaii) pointed out that the cave measurement standards presented here need further refinement. He mentioned cases where the survey line zigzags from wall to wall; where a collapse entrance has a significant overhang, or a floor that is deep at one end and shallow at the other; or where cavers might excavate an originally shallow collapse pit until its depth exceeded its width. I agree that these and other problems need to be addressed; nonetheless these standards are far better than the chaos we had before, and hopefully Chabert and his commission will continue to work on them.

Acknowledgements

I thank all the cave mappers from many countries who have contributed data for this and previous editions of this list, most of whom are cited by name above. Over and above these, I owe a special vote of thanks to Bill Halliday, who assisted greatly in compiling the data and made most of the international contacts that made such a list possible.

References Cited

- Anonymous, 1981. Limelight cast on new world's longest lava cave. Korea (Magazine), Summer, pp. 23-25.
- Chabert, Claude (uncredited), 1979. International Union of Speleology: report of the Commission on Large Caves. Caving International 3: 33-36 (April).
- Chabert, Claude, and Richard A. Watson, 1981. Mapping and measuring caves: a conceptual analysis. National Speleological Society Bulletin 43 (1): 3-11.
- Crawford, Rod, 1975. An historical study of Dynamited Cave. Northwest Caving 6 (1-2): 3-8.
- 1980. Man Jang Gul: a lava tube cave system of international importance. Cascade Caver 19 (6): 69-75.
- 1982. So Cheon Gul: a major lava tube cave system, with notes on the geology of Cheju Do. Cascade Caver 21 (1-3): 4-10.
- Gagne, Wayne C., and Francis G. Howarth, 1975. The cavernicolous fauna of Hawaiian lava tubes, 6. Mesoveliidae or water treaders (Heteroptera). Pacific Insects, 16 (4): 399-413.
- Green, Dale, 1976. Map and articles on Duck Creek Lava Tube. Inner Mountain News, 8 (5): cover and articles inside.
- 1978. The depth of Duck Creek Lava Tube??? Cascade Caver, 17 (1-2): 11.
- Hatheway, Allen W., and Alike K. Herring, 1970. Bandera lava tubes of New Mexico, and lunar implications. Communications of the Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, 8 (4): 297-327.
- Halliday, William R., 1962. Features and significance of the Mount Saint Helens cave area, Washington. Washington Speleological Survey Bulletin 2 (Western Speleological Survey Serial #23): 1-6, March.
- 1978. The remapping of Ape Cave. Cascade Caver 16 (11-12): 91-95.
- Montoriol-Pous, Joaquin, and Jorge de Mier, 1969. Estudio morfogenico de las cavidades volcanicas desarrolladas en el Malpais de la Corona (Isla de Lanzarote, Canarias). "Karst", Revista de Espeleologia (Barcelona), 6 (22): 1-23, fold-out map and sections.
- 1974. Estudio vulcanoespeleologico de la Cueva del Viento (Icod de los Vinos, Isla de Tenerife, Canarias). Speleon, 21: 5-24, fold-out map.
- Montoriol-Pous, Joaquin, Marti Romero, and Alfred Montserrat i Nebot, 1980. Estudio vulcanoespeleologico de la Cueva de Don Justo (Isla de el Hierro, Canarias). Speleon 25: 83-91, map in Pocket.
- Nieland, Jim (not credited), 1975. Falls Creek Cave. Speleograph 11 (9): 112-113.
- Ogawa, Takanori, 1982. Bilemot Cave in Cheju Island, Korea: exploration and survey in the longest single lava tube. Cascade Caver 21 (4-5): 21-22. Translated from original in Kagaku Asahi (Monthly Journal of Science), Nov. 11, 1981, pp. 20-22.

- Peck, Stewart B., 1977. Mapping the caves of the Headquarters Lava Flow, Lava Beds National Monument, California. Proceedings of the [first] International Symposium on Vulcanospeleology and its Extraterrestrial Applications. Western Speleological Survey, Seattle, viii +85 pp., pp. 20-25.
- Simons, Jim, 1976. Articles in Kenya Daily Nation, May 20, pp. 11-15; reprinted in Cascade Caver 15 (6): 51-57.
- 1978. Leviathan not the longest??? Cave Exploration Group of East Africa, Quarterly Newsletter, 3: 8-9.
- Vance, Randy, 1978. Gypsum Cave, Lincoln County, Idaho (map). Gem Caver 11 (2): 9.
- Wood, Christopher, and Martin T. Mills, 1977. Geology of the lava tube caves around Icod de los Vinos, Tenerife. Transactions of the British Cave Research Association, 4 (4): 453-469, 1 fold-out map.
- Wood, Christopher, 1981. Caves of glass: lava tube caves of Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii. Broadsheet, privately published.

And now something from the THINGS COULD BE WORSE Department

Taken from the Mississippi Underground Dispatch

The longest cave in Louisiana is the recently discovered Treasure Hunter Cave. At 279 feet, it doubles Louisiana's mapped cave footage.

Cascade Caver
207 HUB (FK-30) BOX 98
University of Washington
Seattle WA. 98195

GROTTO MEETING MAY 17 8:00